

CSE 462 Classwork #5: Relational Design

Name: _____

Date: March 07, 2011

***** Solved in class. *****

1. Consider a relation schema $R(A, B, C, D)$ and a set of FDs $\mathcal{F} = \{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow A, C \rightarrow D, D \rightarrow BC\}$ that hold in R . The goal of this problem set is to analyze and decompose R into BCNF relations.

1a) Compute the following attribute set closures.

$$\{A\}^+ = \{ \quad \quad \quad \}$$

$$\{B\}^+ = \{ \quad \quad \quad \}$$

$$\{C\}^+ = \{ \quad \quad \quad \}$$

$$\{D\}^+ = \{ \quad \quad \quad \}$$

$$\{A, B\}^+ = \{ \quad \quad \quad \}$$

1b) Is it necessary to compute closures of any other subset of attributes of R ? Justify your answer.

1c) A set of attributes X is closed if $X^+ = X$. List the closed subsets of attributes of R .

1d) List the key(s) of R .

1e) How many superkeys does R have?

2. Consider the set of FDs $\mathcal{G} = \{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow A, C \rightarrow D, D \rightarrow B, D \rightarrow C\}$ equivalent to \mathcal{F} . We would like to know whether \mathcal{G} is a minimal basis for \mathcal{F} , so we must test each of the properties given in the definition of minimal basis.

a) Does every FD in \mathcal{G} have a single attribute on its rhs (simple yes/no)?

b) If any FD is removed from \mathcal{F} , is the resulting set still a basis (i.e., equivalent to \mathcal{F})? Briefly justify.

c) Is there an FD in \mathcal{F} such that, by removing one attribute from its lhs, \mathcal{F} remains a basis? If so, show it below.

d) From the above, can you conclude that \mathcal{G} is a minimal basis (simple yes/no)?

3. It is easy to see that R is not in BCNF. Justify the claim by showing some FD that is in violation of the BCNF definition.

4. Decompose R into BCNF relations using the algorithm covered in class. Each time step 2 of the algorithm succeeds, show the FD violating BCNF and the decomposed relations R_1 and R_2 . Compute the FD sets and keys for the decomposed relations in the space below the table.

	Iteration #1	Iteration #2	Iteration #3
Relation	$R(A, B, C, D)$		
FDs	$\{A \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow A, C \rightarrow D, D \rightarrow BC\}$		
Key(s)			
FD Violating BCNF			
R_1			
R_2			

Computations: